fullerton

2

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
INT-415





OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

Lakeview, Oregon 97630 P.O. Box 1 51 D000 S. 9th

AOIRITH SHT TO THEMTRAGE SETATS CI THEMEDANAM ON A THEMEDANAM ON A SHORT OF LAND MANAGEMENT

LakeviewRangeland Program Summary Update

November, 1982



United States Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management P.O. Box 151 Lakeview, Oregon 97630

Enclosed is the first Rangeland Program Summary (RPS) Update for the Lakeview EIS Area. It incorporates the changes that have been made in the rangeland management program since the release of the Lakeview RPS last spring. This report also summarizes the actions proposed to achieve multiple use planning objectives and to implement the rangeland management decisions and monitoring program for the Lakeview EIS area.

Please review this summary, and if appropriate, give us your comments.

We will start issuing individual decisions 30 days after release of this report. Individual operators and anyone else who has indicated in writing that their interest may be affected by the rangeland program will be issued a "Notice of Proposed Decision" 30 days after release of this document. The "Notice of Proposed Decision" may be protested or appealed in accordance with 43 CFR 4160.2 and 4160.4 Except where appeals are filed, these decisions will become effective for the 1983 grazing year.

Our multiple use objectives have remained the same. However, significant reductions in Federal spending in all program areas are anticipated. This will require continued modification of methods and projects proposed to improve our rangelands and achieve multiple use objectives while spending a minimum of public funds.

Thank you for your past cooperation and we look forward to any further input you may have that will assist us in managing your public lands.

Sincerely yours,

Richard A. Cerity District Manager

Background

Resource conditions in the Lakeview E.I.S. area have not changed significantly since publication of the 1981 Lakeview EIS or the RPS published in March 1982.

The Lakeview Rangeland Program Summary was distributed for public review and comment in March of 1982. The proposed program consisted of the following major actions: 1) allocation of the available forage to wildlife, wild horses, livestock and non-consumptive uses; 2) implementation of grazing systems; 3) development of new range improvements; 4) a monitoring and evaluation program.

This first RPS update includes changes made due to incorporation of the public comments, selective management policy, consultation with permittees and an updated benefit/cost analysis. These changes are described later.

During the public comment period for the original RPS, a public meeting was held in Lakeview and 10 people attended. In addition five comment letters were received in the 45-day public comment period. Four of the letters were concerned about the proposed season of use. One recommended an increase in the future allocation of forage for wildhorses, after additional forage has been developed.

In addition to the information received during the public comment period, a considerable number of suggestions have also been received from the livestock operators during the ongoing consultation process.

Since publication of the Lakeview RPS, the BLM has adopted the Selective Management Policy. Under this policy each allotment was placed in one of three management categories. This policy is designed to concentrate public funds and management efforts on allotments which have the most significant problems and potential for improvement.

In accordance with the policy each allotment was placed in either the Improve (I) category, the Maintain (M) category, or the Custodial (C) category. An allotments' category is based upon the following factors:

- 1. present resource condition;
- 2. potential productivity;
- 3. presence of resource conflicts or controversy;
- 4. present management situation:
- 5. opportunity for positive economic return;
- 6. appropriate local factors.

The priority of annual expenditures by BLM and completion of range improvement projects, for

range supervision, and for monitoring and project maintenance will be based on the allotment categorization under the Selective Management Policy.

Rangeland Management Program

This section covers the actions that will be taken to implement the Rangeland Management Program.

1. Grazing Decisions

Since the issuance of the Lakeview R.P.S. each permittee or leasee who would be significantly affected by changes in forage allocations, season of use, or use areas was consulted about the use of grazing systems, grazing use adjustments, the proposed range improvements, resource objectives and other aspects of the proposed program. The specific information about these changes is shown in Appendix 1.

These actions will be included in a "Notice of Proposed Decision" to be issued to each affected permittee/leasee and anyone else who has indicated in writing that their interest may be affected. Where adjustments are being made the "Notice of Proposed Decision" will be issued starting 30 days after release of this document and prior to the start of grazing this year. This notice may be protested or appealed in accordance with 43 CFR 4160.2 and 4160.4. Except where appeals are filed, the decisions will become effective in 1983.

2. Range Improvements and Appropriations

Achieving the resource objectives of the Lakeview land use plan is largely dependent upon completion of range improvements. As a result of consultation and an updated benefit/cost analysis there has been considerable refinement in the list of proposed projects. The estimated cost of implementing the range improvements and improved management is \$4,538,000. The proposed projects shown on Appendix 2 will be further adjusted as detailed field examinations indicate changes are needed.

The first priority for investments will be in the Improve Category (I), second the Maintain Category (M), and lowest priority for investments is in the Custodial Category (C).

As a result of the categorization process there are 35 allotments and 2,486,651 acres in the Improve category, 56 allotments and 636,367 acres in the Maintain category, and 97 allotments and 76,891 acres in the Custodial category. The categorization may be adjusted as consultation is completed or resource conditions change. The selective management category for each allotment

is shown in Appendix 2.

The I category allotments have been ranked, as shown on the Range Improvement Table, according to the Benefit/Cost Ratios (B/C). This initial ranking shows which investments would give the best return to the Federal dollar spent. It does not take into account the other resource values, the willingness of some livestock operators to share costs, nor the judgments of operators and the grazing advisory board. All this information will be analyzed prior to determining a final ranking which will be used to determine the sequence of funding the projects in an allotment. This ranking will be updated periodically as projects are completed or conditions change.

Until Congress has determined whether the wilderness study areas will or will not be designated wilderness, projects in those areas will only be implemented if their purpose is to enhance wilderness values by better protecting the rangeland in a natural condition.

Installation of the proposed rangeland facilities will begin in fiscal year 1983 and continue as funds are available. BLM's range management and range improvement programs are funded through congressional appropriations and the return (to the district) of one half of the grazing fees collected.

3. Resource Monitoring and Evaluation

A number of different resource studies will be conducted to evaluate the effectiveness of the range management program. Both the type and intensity of monitoring will vary considerably among the three categories outlined in the Selective Management Policy.

Monitoring in the Improve (I) category will be most intensive and will be designed to measure progress toward objectives and the environmental conditions which affect that progress. In the Maintain (M) category allotments, monitoring intensity will be reduced and the primary emphasis will be on monitoring changes from current resource conditions. Monitoring in the Custodial (C) category allotments will be limited to periodic inventories and observations of resource uses to measure long-term resource condition changes.

The following are the major rangeland elements to be monitored:

a. Plants

Trend - Studies will be conducted periodically on selected upland and significant riparian areas to determine changes in plant species' composition to determine progress in meeting vegetation objectives.

Utilization - Forage utilization studies will be conducted to determine the pattern of grazing use and how much vegetation is removed by grazing animals. Browse utilization studies will continue on deer winter ranges.

Sensitive, Threatened and Endangered - There are 15 plant species known to occur on the Lakeview District which are being considered for listing as either endangered or threatened by the U. S. Fish & Wildlife Service. When it is felt that population trend studies are needed, they will be conducted to determine the effects of the management program on them.

b. Animals

Livestock - Certified use data will be obtained from the permittee annually on I and M category allotments. These records will reflect the number and class of animals grazing each pasture and the amount of time the livestock graze there. Livestock counts will be made periodically by the BLM to verify these records.

Aquatic animals - Studies will be conducted in significant riparian areas to determine changes in populations of fish and wildlife.

Wildlife - Use data will be obtained from Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and supplemental BLM studies. Observation of animal populations and use patterns in conjunction with other agencies will be the principal monitoring methods.

Waterfowl and Raptors - Nesting success studies will be continued on significant breeding areas for waterfowl and raptors.

c. Water

Water quality monitoring will be initiated in accordance with BLM policies and Sections 208 and 313 of the Federal Clean Water Act.

d. Weather

Weather data will be analyzed annually to determine the effects of crop-year precipitation on herbage yields and for correlation with forage utilization studies.

Relationship of the RPS Update to the Lakeview EIS and the RPS

The Lakeview EIS proposed a variety of grazing systems and improvements to achieve management objectives. Based on additional information and the consultation process the range management decisions, with some modification, are the same as the Proposed Action in the Lakeview E.I.S.

1. Forage Allocation.

The proposed forage allocation compared to the EIS and Lakeview RPS is:

	Lakeview EIS Proposed Action AUMs	RPS AUMs	983 RPS Update Decision AUMs
Livestock	159,292	158,803	159.205
Wildlife	15.319	15.319	15.319
Non-Consumtive	5,156	5,146	5.917
Wildhorses	3.420	3.420	3 420

The differences in allocation are largely a result of the completion of the State land exchange and other adjustments described in detail later.

2. Range Improvements

The difference between the planned range improvement program and the proposal in the original RPS is shown below. Adjustments in the range improvement program have been made as a result of benefit/cost analysis, the selective management policy and the consultation process. We anticipate further adjustments will be made as funding levels change and as site specific examinations identify other resource considerations.

The comparison of Proposed Range Improvements is:

Type of Project	Lakeview EIS Proposed Action	March, 1983 RPS	RPS Update
Fence (miles)	428	393	135
Spring (each)	18	17	11
Pipeline (Miles)	104	112	50
Wells (each)	28	11	4
Reservoir (each)	147	122	105
Waterhole (each)	'35	115	65
Spray/Seed (acres)	110 600	67.600	24.900
Burn/Seed (acres)	84.700	109.800	137,700
Chain/Seed (acres)	7.500	1.100	1 100
Spray for release (acres)	33.300	3.200	500
Burn for release (acres)	28.300	4.100	6,730
Chain for release (acres)	105	-0-	-O-
Juniper Control (acres)	. 900	. 300	1,300

3. Grazing Use Adjustments

Grazing Use Adjustments - The differences between the March, 1982 Lakeview RPS proposals and the proposed grazing decisions are outlined below and include changes made through the consultation process. Also refer to Appendix 1 where the proposed livestock allocations and season of use are displayed by allotment.

- 103 Viewpoint The removal date is extended from October 31 to November 30. Consultation with the range user indicates a need for periodically permitting licensing use in November when cattle are being moved to base properties.
- 205 Greaser Drift Due to a past allotment boundary change the total forage in the allotment has increased by 100 AUMs. This additional forage has been allocated to livestock.

206 Lane Plan II & Sandy Seeding - The active

& preference in these allotments is being 218 increased to correspond to past average actual

use. This use has been allocated on a temporary basis for over five years and monitoring studies indicate that condition is good and trend is improving. This restores all the suspended non-use of this operator.

- 213 Burro Springs The AUMs in this area were previously un-alloted. The present allocation will restore all of the suspended non-use of one operator.
- 217 Cox Indiv. Through consultation it was determined unfeasible to move another permittee into this allotment as proposed in the RPS, therefore the available AUMs are being permanently allocated to the present allotment user.
- 222 Fisher Lake The increase proposed is based on actual use records and was proposed in the EIS. This will restore the suspended non-use of the range user.
- 407 Clover Flat In consultation with the Forest Service and range user, the season-of-use has been extended to provide flexibility in the move to the adjacent Forest Service allotment.
- 503 Taylor The 48 AUM adjustment is due to a boundary change with the Fish Creek allotment #519 and was part of the Proposed Action in the EIS.
- 511 Northeast Warner The acreage in this allotment was increased by 699 acres through the State Land Exchange. The additional 83 AUMs will be held in non-consumptive status pending development of a grazing system.
- 515 Juniper Mountain This is a correction of an error in private acreages. (1,440 ac. to 440 ac)
- 516 Rabbit Basin The season-of-use will be December 1 to April 15 until the grazing system is developed in the Corn Lake allotment and the water developments and seedings are completed in the Rabbit Basin allotment. At that time the season of use will be adjusted to allow spring grazing.
- 517 Coyote-Colvin The existing season of use will be continued until proposed projects are developed for winter use. The active preference will be reduced by 117 AUMs instead of the 169 AUMs originally proposed due to the change in acres involved in the State Land Exchange.
- 519 Fish Creek The 125 AUM adjustment is due to an existing boundary change with the Taylor Allotment #503 and a delayed turnout date and was part of the proposed action in the EIS.

812 STUKEL-COFFIN

- 520 Lynch Flynn The increase proposed in the RPS was reduced by 25 AUMs due to the change in acres involved in the State Land Exchange.
- 521 Priday Reservoir Total suspended non-use is being restored to the Permittee due to past seedings and vegetation development.
- 522 Abert Seeding Since this operator's active preference was reduced less than originally proposed in the Coyote-Colvin Allotment #517, the allocation to livestock in this allotment is not as large as originally proposed in the RPS.
- 523 Warner Lakes The existing season of use will be continued until range developments are completed and a sound grazing system has been developed. The Non-consumptive AUMs were decreased to reflect the acres involved in the State Land Exchange. The increase in the permittee's preference is based on past average actual use and was proposed in the
- 701 Upper Bridge Creek The spring turnout date is adjusted from April 1 to April 10 following consultation with the user and actual use data.
- 705 Oatman Flat Allotment Following consultation with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife and the range user, the turnout date in the Connelly Hills Pasture will remain at March 1 as indicated in the Proposed Action of the EIS and not postponed to April 15 as proposed in the RPS.
- 716 Silver Lake Lakebed The AUMs will be allocated on a temporary basis, rather than permanent since the forage is not available during years of high runoff.
- 882 Horsefly The change in the livestock allocation figures is due to a mathematical error earlier in the planning process that has been corrected. The season-of-use has been adjusted to conform with current permits.

4. Other Administrative Changes

Changes in control of base property has made it impractical to combine some allotments as proposed in the planning system. Those allotments are: 895-Harpold Canyon, 856-Bryant Stastny, 857-Bryant-Taylor, 839-Bryant-Loveness, 833-Bryant Johnson, and 831-Warlow. These allotments will be placed in the C category.

Based on consultation or the need to complete range improvements on some allotments the season-of-use proposed for the same allotments in the RPS will not be implemented. The current season-of-use will remain in effect on these allotments as shown on Appendix 1.

Motment	Fence		Pipe- line		Reser-	Water		Seeding (Acres)			h Control Acres)	Junip Cont		Selective Management	Benifit/ Cost	Initi Ranki
Number 00	Miles	Springs	Miles .5	Wells	voirs	holes	Spray	Burn'	Chain¹	Spray	Burn	(Acre	s) Cost²	Category ³	Ratio	(B/C
01 02	•		1.5										2,680 8,040	M	.98 	2
)3	2 24		1			5		36,358					9,120	M	_	_
2 4					1.0	J		200					700,194 7,270		1.08	15
,	1.0						300 800						9,080	1	.78	30
6					1		280	480					19,200 12,380	1	1.13 .93	14 23
7 0		1				3		360					17,030	М	.89	_
1		1 1			1	1	160	1,240					3,840 31,070	M	1 40	-
2					1	·	1,600	1,440					68,300	M	1.42 1.35	1
3 5						1		520 1,240					9,490	M	_	-
6			4		1	i		1,800					25,450 60,410	M	1.05 2.13	
2 0	22		3	1				360					6,570	M	_	-
4	22		3	1	2	4		26,446 100					573,979 8,440	 	1.27	1
7					1			100					3,620	M	.81	2
9 1		1					200						4,800	М	_	_
2		•						160					2,000 2,920	C	_	-
9 0	10				1	3		1,240					53,510		.83	2
1	18 13	1	3	1	2 4	3 5	4,240	2,000 4,800			3,440		107,540	!	.89	2
2	4	·	•	•		2	4,240	1,280					236,440 29,000	!	1.01 1.40	1
4 5	6				7 2	3	1,760	1,920					122,360	i	.94	2
6	16		8		2	3	8.000	2,200 760					55,850 288,230	M	1,44	-
7 3	12		5		3	4	3,495	7,055					284,134	i	.87 .90	2
9	11	1				1		520 1,120					14,310	M	1.55	_
0	4				2		280	320					41,120 27,320	 	1.05 1.03	1 1
3	12 72.3	2	16		45		2,880						91,680	i	1.16	1:
)	12.3	2	16		45	4		36,840 200		500			1,107,710	1	.98	1:
<u> </u>								200			282		3,650 1,763	I M	2.00	-
	1							225	450				4,106	M		_
	•		1	1		1		340 757	450				23,955 24,830	M M	1.11 1.44	
i e	4 =		4.0	1									5,655	M	1.44	_
, ·	. 1.5		1.0					45					8,180	M		-
•	4				1			45					821 11,140	C M	1.55	
) 	3 1							550					15.678	1	1.06	16
	'							30				75	1,880	M		
								00	30			75	1,822 810	C	5.07	_
) 					2				45				7.240	С		_
;	1								45				1,215 1,880	C	1.86	
) 	0.2												376	č	_	
					1							60	1,020	1	1.83	5
								100					3,620 1,515	C	1.71	-
. .								100	40				1,080	C	-	
					1			120					1,950 3,620	C	.94 —	23
											150		938	Ĭ	1.54	7
	2								20 35				518	С	2.12	-
	-				4		100	405	480		140		4,705 36,014	M M	.84	****
											105		657	M	.04	
					3			180 340				COF	2,905	Ç		_
					J			340			2,115	625 260	26,636 17,639	i M	.56 1.40	31
											158		988	M		
		1			1						70 268		2,438 5,295	C M	_	-
					1						200		5,295 3,620	M M	****	_
					2	2	410	930				300	40,672	l	1.18	12
					'	1	400						11,980 2,820	M M	.72	_
				1/4									5,655	M	_	_
			.75	1/4		1							9,675	M	_	
			.5			1							2,820 2,680	M M		
	^		1										5,360	M		_
	2		.25										3,760	M	_	
)	11	2	1		14	5		1,000					1,340 112,130	M 1 ·	1.53	_
t	6		3			2		1,720					64,390		1.90	
	135		50.5	4	105	65	24,905	137,701	1,101	500	6,728	1,320 4	,538,528			
Proposed met	nod of veg	etation treat	ment prior	to seeding.												

AGE ALLOCAT	IIONS &	ADJU5															`		
			RPS	S Update Fo	orage Alloca	tion	Present	RPS	Update De	cision				RPS	Update F	orage Alloc	ation		RPS Update Decision
Allotment Number and Name	Public Lands (acres)	Other Lands _ (acres)	Wildlife (AUMs)	Wild Horses (AUMs)	Noncon- sumptive (AUMs)	Live- stock (AUMs)	Active Preference (AUMs)	Proposed Adjustment (AUMs)	Active	Proposed Season of Grazing Use	Allotment Number and Name	Public Lands	Other Lands	Wildlife	Wild Horses	Noncon- sumptive	Live- stock	Present Active Preference	Proposed Proposed Active Prop Adjustment Preference Seas
TER CREEK GREEN MTN	13,800 17,241	640 1,440	30 315	0	658	329 980	329 980	0	329	4/15-11/15	813 PLUM HILLS	(acres) 160	(acres) 0	(AUMs)	(AUMs)	(AUMs) 0	(AUMs) 20	(AUMs) 20	(AUMs) (AUMs) Grazir 0 20 —
RACK IN GROUND EWPOINT	15,419	400	143	0	0	298	298	0	980 298	4/21-11/30 5/1-9/15	814 CUNNINGHAM 815 STUKEL-DEHLINGER C	840 1,680	0 0	16 29	0	0 0	108 240	108 240	0 108 4/26-7 0 240 —
OTTOMLESS LAKE	524,180 565	54,640 0	529 0	408 0	217 1	29,169 50	32,657 0	-3488 0	29,169 0	3/1-11/30	816 STUKEL-DEHLINGER H 817 DREW	440 1,080	0	4	0	0	30	30	0 30 —
UE CREEK NYARD INDIV.	600 8,600	0 160	50	0	0	131	0	+131	131		818 BRYANT-DUNCAN	200	0	2	0	0	108 15	108 15	0 108 — 0 15 —
CKEY INDIV.	10,906	90	112 102	0	28 66	510 519	510 519	. 0	510 519	4/10-9/31 4/10-9/25	819 DUPONT 820 FLESHER	79 160	0	1 2	0	0	7 16	7 16	0 7 <u> </u>
KEEFFE RUMP INDIV.	565 2,930	0 3 9 5	2 50	0	0	48 92	48 92	0	48		821 NORTH HORSEFLY	988	ő	27	ő	ő	68	68	0 16
EASER DRIFT	9,210	0	100	ő	0	306	256	+50	92 306	4/16-6/15 9/1-11/15	822 STUKEL-O'NEILL 823 NO. HORSEFLY	3,122 920	0	25 23	0	0	209 60	209 60	0 209 4/16-9 0 60
NE PLAN II NE PLAN I	9,910 24,725	3,330 1,370	146 200	0	0 98	450 1,942	408 1,942	+42 0	450 1,942	4/1-7/10 4/1-10/10	825 NAYLOX 826 HASKINS	760	ō	12	Ō	Ö	76	76	0 76
GEHEN	3,820	2,050	60	Ŏ	0	266	266	ő	266	6/16-10/7	827 STUKEL-HIGH	560 349	0	3	0	0	80 25	80 25	0 80 5/16-6 0 25 5/1-8/
HADLER HENER INDIV.	790 2,990	0 680	20 30	0	0	57 91	57 91	0	57 91	4/11-8/20	828 STUKEL-HILL 829 HORTON	960 760	0	7	0	0	60	60	0 60 —
UND MTN.	16,330	1,640	183	Ō	122	1,102	1,102	ő	1,102	4/10-7/5	830 HUNGRY HOLLOW	280	0	3	0	0	26 40	26 40	0 26 4/21-6 0 40 —
HILLY-GRAVELLY RRO SPRING	33,285 7,500	2,031 0	111 60	0	103 21	1,781 279	1,781 0	0 +279	1,781 279	3/10-10/1 12/1-3/15	831 WARLOW 832 JESPERSON	460 1,578	0	7 23	0.	0	50 158	50 158	0 50 —
L CAMP EEFFE INDIV.	30,790 50,330	2,710 3,010	300	0	0	3,932	3,932	0	3,932	3/6-10/10	833 BRYANT JOHNSON	40	0	1	0	0	6	158	0 158 — 0 6 6/1-9/
X INDIV.	4,670	60	266 70	0	0 74	4,808 300	4,808 217	0 +83	4,808 300	3/16-11/30 4/16-10/15	834 KELLISON 835 KETCHAM	335 320	0	1	0	0	19 20	19 20	0 19
NDY SEEDING HILL	4,850 470	0	30 20	0	83	417	0	+417	417	3/21-4/30	836 HARPOLD	2,149	Ö	32	ő	0	226	226	0 20 <u> </u>
HER LAKE	4,230	656	50 50	0	0 65	280 529	280 429	0 +100	280 529	11/20-3/10	838 WINDY RIDGE 839 BRYANT LOVENESS	600 3.440	0	9 71	0	0	52 490	52 490	0 52 5/1-5
KEY SLEY COMMON	412 552,469	0 14,139	61	0	0	64	64	0	64	_	840 BRYANT-LYON	565	ŏ	5	Ö	0	38	38	0 490
CED FED. LAND	160	520	251 0	612 0	0 0	16,007 16	19,124 16	-3117 0	16,007 16	3/01-1/31	841 MARSHALL 842 MASTEN	348 485	0	2	0	0	14 40	14 40	0 14 4/21- 0 40 -
CREEK OW CREEK	400 11,805	1,160 9,466	2 10	0	0	18	18	Ö	18	-	845 K.HILLS-O'CONNOR	500	ő	3	Ö	0	55	55	0 40 -
LOVER FLAT	748	2,776	2	0	0 0	346 15	589 15	-243 0	346 15	4/15-6/15	846 OK 847 SWEDE CABIN	1,260 1,921	0	9 43	0	0	140 108	140 108	0 140 – 0 108 –
VER FLAT OOL HOUSE	2,521 55	4,851 1.980	20	0	0	200	90	+110	200	4/15-6/15	848 POPE	1,044	ő	8	Ö	0	70	70	0 70 5/1-
KER HILL	3,534	323	0	0	0 0	2 136	2 46	0 +90	2 136	 4/15-6/15	849 RAJNUS BROS. 851 HAPOLD RIDGE	480 1,043	0	4 16	0	0	32 108	32 110	0 32 – -2 108 4/21-
LONG CREEK ES CANYON	285 636	1,155	0	Ö	Ö	13	13	0	13	-	852 RODGERS	2,549	0	31	0	0	249	249	-2 108 4/21- 0 249
TIMBER BUTTE	3,462	3,172	0 22	0	0	13 199	113 199	-100 0	13 199	 5/1-6/15	853 7C 855 BRYANT SMITH	688 1,140	0	41 15	0	0	104 109	104 109	0 104 0 109 5/15-
GGS GARDEN TE ROCK	785	899	7	Ō	Ö	42	42	ŏ	42	3/ 1-d/ 13	856 BRYANT STASTNY	440	0	10	0	0	70	70	0 109 5/15- 0 70 5/10-
NN	565 2,780	438 0	55	0	0	10 120	10 120	0	10 120	_	857 BRYANT TAYLOR 858 VENABLE & BIAGGI	760 6,448	0	6 44	0	0	42 300	42 300	0 42 _
ZGERALD 'LOR	5,150 3,110	0	60 60	0	0	346	346	0	346		859 CUNARD	370	0	7	Ö	0	60	60	0 300 5/1-6 0 60 5/1-7
_Y	390	0	0	0	0	247 23	295 23	- 48 0	247 23		860 McCARTIE 861 WILLIAMS	545 2,520	0	6 9	0	0	83 120	83 120	0 83 4/16-5 0 120 6/1-7/
CH (EE	180 100	0	0	0	0	20 10	20 10	0	20	**************************************	862 KLAMATH FOREST EST	2,520	ŏ	6	ŏ	ŏ	85	85	0 85 5/9-5
ID	2,030	ŏ	50	0	0	164	164	0	10 164		863 WIRTH 864 RAJNUS & SON	1,360 1,440	0	18 16	0	0	113 110	113 110	0 113 — 0 110 —
CK CREEK RANCH	280 38,340	0	0 63	0	0	9	9 1,196	0	9		877 BUMPHEADS	12,880	580	131	Ō	ŏ	764	764	0 764 4/21-6
JANA RIM	57,280	ŏ	100	0	42	1,196 1,423	1,423	0	1,196 1,423	3/16-10/20 4/1-10/31	878 CAMPBELL 879 DEVAUL	1,465 240	3,140 320	0 2	0	0	47 12	47 12	0 47 — 0 12 —
RTHEAST WARNER RTH BLUEJOINT	139,019° 22,440°	1,680 3, 64 0	12 100	0	83 351	5,956	5, 9 56 289	0	5,956	2/1-9/30	881 GOODLOW	285	640	1	Õ	ŏ	32	32	0 32
RN LAKE	78,476*	1,710	40	0	60	289 2,663	2,663	0 0	289 2,663	5/1-7/31 3/21-9/30	882 HORSEFLY 883 HORTON	26,356 880	4,779 342	546 0	0	0	2,657 58	2,657 58	0 2,657 4/21-1 0 58 4/21-1
NIPER MTN. BBIT BASIN	91,720* 61,300*	440 1,040	116 26	0	269 214	3,621 570	3,621 570	0	3,621 570	3/16-10/15 12/1-4/15	884 PANKY BASIN 885 DRY PRAIRIE	282	508	1 130	0	0	43	43	0 43 —
YOTE-COLVIN	123,038*	15,002	87	Ö	0	5,091	5,209	-118	5,091	3/16-12/15	886 HORSE CAMP RIM	7,231 5,120	3,624 0	51	0	0	606 300	606 300	0 606 5/1-i 0 300 5/1-i
VER CREEK 1 CREEK	10,050 14,805	1,354 10,446	8 44	0	0	435 623	435 498	0 +125	435 623	6/1-11/1 5/1-10/31	887 PITCHLOG 888 ROCK CREEK	9,280 2,750	1,040 1,200	90 46	0	0	434 216	434 216	0 434 5/10 0 216 5/1-
CH-FLYNN DAY RES.	16,800* 780	4,260	55	0	ō	882	867	+15	882	5/1-7/15	890 STATELINE	27,044	8,110	458	ő	0	2,120	2,120	0 216 5/1- 0 2,120 4/21-
RT SEEDING	9,200	720 320	139 60	0	0 0	65 2,619	30 2,501	+35 +118	65 2,619	4/1-10/31 3/16-6/20	892 WILLIAMS 893 FIELDS	1,790 180	0 0	0	0	0	75 6	75 6	0 75 5/1- 0 6
RNER LAKES E INDIV.	38,788° 2,700	5,650 0	50 50	0	307 0	1,656	1,489 65	+167	1,656	4/1-10/15	895 HARPOLD CANYON 896 McFALL	1,080	ŏ	15	Ō	Ŏ	108	108	0 108
TYS BUTTE	506,985	46,455	444	2,400	Ö	65 26,121	27,892	-1,771	65 26,121	4/1-12/15	896 McFALL 900 FREMONT	880 26,362	0 511	12 1,229	0	0	88 1. 9 70	88 1,970	0 88 5/1-1 0 1,970 4/1-
er-Bridge Cr. Er Bridge Cr.	6,645 1,460	265 3,270	69 29	0	0	262 108	262 108	0	262	4/21-6/21	901 WASTINA 902 CINDER BUTTE	6,366	0	311	0	0	419	419	0 419 4/26-
				· ·	U	100	100	U	108	4/10-5/15 & 9/1-10/31	902 CINDER BUTTE 903 BEASLEY LAKE	11,216 2,640	320 534	634 66	0	0	923 232	923 232	0 923 3/9 0 232 4/15-
K CR-BRIDGE CR. R CREEK	6,280 1,155	375 990	142 36	0	12 0	309 107	309 107	0	309 107	5/1-9/30 10/1-12/30	904 HIGHWAY 905 HOMESTEAD	3,675	989	91 508	0	0	244	244	0 244 5/1-
D LAKE	12,424	1,819	187	ŏ	ŏ	650	650	0	650	4/21-6/30	906 NORTH WEBSTER	13.837 1,071	9,728 3,416	51	0	0	805 112	805 112	0 805 4/1-1 0 112 5/1-1
MAN FLAT RANCH	28,503 4,240	6,075 0	758 130	0	0 0	2,082 539	2,082 539	0 n -	2,082 539	3/1-6/30 5/1-10/31	907 DEVILS GARDEN 908 COUGAR MTN.	4,406 8,282	0 3,405	116 534	0	0	287 616	0 616	0 0 4/15
BUTTE	9,330	2,310	340	Ō	Õ	536	376	+160	536	5/1-6/30	909 BUTTON SPRINGS	8,779	1,240	252	ő	0	1,068	1,068	0 1,068 5/1-1
OW GAP D INDIAN-DUNCAN	2,720 18,790	160 2,420	0 64 7	0	0 0	135 586	135 586	0 0	135 586	 4/1-9/30	910 HOBGACK BUTTE 911 VALLEY	4,384 6,600	4,234 769	182 137	0	0	680 669	680 669	0 680 4/15-
DOCK HAYES BUTTE	4,468	1,668 710	72	Ō	Ŏ	545	705	-160	545	5/1-6/30	913 INDIVIDUAL	240	0	0	ő	ŏ	24	12	0 669 4/1-; +12 24 –
GE WELL	1,490 1,400	710 1,050	17 99	0	0 0	88 50	88 50	0 0	88 50	5/1-10/30	914 WEST GREEN MTN. 915 SQUAW BUTTE	21,656 8,230	4,406 460	191 535	0	0	1,233 1,000	1,233 1,000	0 1,233 4/26~ 0 1,000 5/1-9
ER CREEK	2,785	640	62	0	Ō	200	200	. 0	200	4/15-5/31	916 WAHL	160	0	0	ő	o o	10	16	0 1,000 5/1-9 -16 0
LE ROCK ER L. LAKEBED	4,100 640	120 0	173 0	0	0 0	0 250	250 0	-250 0	0	_	1000 L. JUNIPER SPR. 1001 ALKALI WINTER	116,836 87,570	780 6,817	480 0	0	2,958 85	5,418 4,418	5,418 4,418	0 5,418 3/1-2 0 4,418 11/1-
MS GHT	40	0	0	0	0	6	6	ő	6	_	1002 BAR 75 RANCH	2,588	0	ŏ	ŏ	0	159	159	0 159 -
CK DRIVE	400 40	0	4 0	0	0	27 2	27 0	0 +2	27 2	5/1-7/31 —	1300 BECRAFT 1301 CROOKED CREEK	120 240	0	5 5	0	0	10 10	10 10	0 10 -
CL	480 817	0	6	ō	Ŏ	42	42	0	42		1302 THOMAS CREEK	40	Ö	14	ő	ő	30	30	0 10
NWELL	817 1,708	0	12 15	0	0	80 100	80 100	0	80 100	4/21-6/30	1303 O'KEEFFE 1305 SCHULTZ	280 200	0	10 14	0	0	20 29	20 29	0 20 —
	40	0	1	Ŏ	õ	10	10	ŏ	10	-	1306 SIMMS	363	0	27	Ö	0	29 55	55	0 29 <u> </u>
WN NDA	80 1,300	υ 0	4 18	0	0	30 124	30 124	0	30 124		1307 VERNON 1308 BARRY	240 120	o	5	0	10	0	10	-10 0

TOTAL

3,200,149 292,662

* Acreage change due to final State land exchange

-7,377 158,618

5,917 159,205